



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,796	06/19/2001	Kenneth LeVey	ITWO:0004 (12984)	4481	
75	90 08/30/2002				
Patrick S. Yoder Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren P.O. Box 692289			EXAMINER		
			SCHIFFMAN, JORI		
Houston, TX 7	77269-2289		ART UNIT		
			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					đ			
		Application No.	,	Applicant(s)				
	·	09/885,796		LEVEY ET AL.	,			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	· · · · · ·	Art Unit				
		Jori R. Schiffmar		3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mix vill apply and will expire cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
· <u> </u>	ion of Claims							
4)[△	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.							
5\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· _	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirem	ent.					
	ion Papers	·						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) object	ed to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examine	r.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		- 11 0 0 0 1404					
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)ı	All b) Some * c) None of:	. ha haaa	المراجع والأراج					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 A	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)	-	~ *					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-40 and 52-58, drawn to a fastener, classified in class 411, subclass 411.
- II. Claims 41-51 and 59-62, drawn to method of making a fastener, classified in class470, subclass 10.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the fastener can be made by molding.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Patrick Yoder on August 27, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3679

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jori R. Schiffman whose telephone number is 703-305-4805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-3179.

Jori R. Schiffman

Examiner

Art Unit 3679

JS

August 27, 2002

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner